

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/701,492	DESORMEAUX ET AL.	

All Participants:	Status of Application: _____
(1) <u>Mark T. Le</u> .	(3) _____.
(2) <u>Alex Nanoff</u> .	(4) _____.
Date of Interview: <u>25 August 2004</u>	Time: _____
Type of Interview:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description: .	
Part I.	
Rejection(s) discussed:	
<i>none</i>	
Claims discussed:	
<i>all</i>	
Prior art documents discussed:	
<i>none</i>	
Part II.	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
See Continuation Sheet	
Part III.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It appeared that Applicant needed help in placing the application in a better condition for allowance; therefore, the examiner offered an assistance in amending the claims to overcome informalities and conform to the U.S. practices. In response, Applicant accepted the proposed changes as now set forth in the examiner's amendments..